# A SOLUTION TO A PROBLEM OF CASSELS AND DIOPHANTINE PROPERTIES OF CUBIC NUMBERS 

URI SHAPIRA

Abstract. We prove that almost any pair of real numbers $\alpha, \beta$, satisfies the following inhomogeneous uniform version of Littlewood's conjecture:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \liminf _{|n| \rightarrow \infty}|n|\langle n \alpha-\gamma\rangle\langle n \beta-\delta\rangle=0 \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot\rangle$ denotes the distance from the nearest integer. The existence of even a single pair that satisfies statement (C1), solves a problem of Cassels from the 50 's. We then prove that if $1, \alpha, \beta$ span a totally real cubic number field, then $\alpha, \beta$, satisfy (C1). This generalizes a result of Cassels and Swinnerton-Dyer, which says that such pairs satisfy Littlewood's conjecture. It is further shown that if $\alpha, \beta$ are any two real numbers, such that $1, \alpha, \beta$, are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{Q}$, they cannot satisfy (C1). The results are then applied to give examples of irregular orbit closures of the diagonal group of a new type. The results are derived from rigidity results concerning hyperbolic actions of higher rank commutative groups on homogeneous spaces.

## 1. Introduction

1.1. Notation. We first fix our notation and define the basic objects to be discussed in this paper. Let $X_{d}$ denote the space of $d$-dimensional unimodular lattices in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and let $Y_{d}$ denote the space of translates of such lattices. Points of $Y_{d}$ will be referred to as grids, hence for $x \in X_{d}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, y=x+v \in Y_{d}$ is the grid obtained by translating the lattice $x$ by the vector $v$. We denote by $\pi$ the natural projection

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{d} \xrightarrow{\pi} X_{d}, \quad x+v \mapsto x . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $x \in X_{d}$, we identify the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x)$ in $Y_{d}$ with the torus $\mathbb{R}^{d} / x$. Let $N: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the function $N(w)=\prod_{1}^{d} w_{i}$. For a grid $y \in Y_{d}$, we define the product set of $y$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(y)=\{N(w): w \in y\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper we shall study properties of the product set. We will mainly be interested in density properties and the values near zero. We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(y)=\inf \{|N(w)|: w \in y\} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ambiguous use of the symbol $N$ both for a function on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and for a function on $Y_{d}$ and the lack of appearance of the dimension $d$ in the notation should not cause any

[^0]confusion. The inhomogeneous minimum of a lattice $x \in X_{d}$ is defined by
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(x)=\sup \left\{N(y): y \in \pi^{-1}(x)\right\} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The inhomogeneous Markov spectrum (or just the spectrum) is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{d}=\left\{\mu(x): x \in X_{d}\right\} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A more geometric way to visualize the above notions is the following: The star body of radius $\epsilon>0$ is the set $S_{\epsilon}=\left\{w \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:|N(w)|<\epsilon\right\}$. In terms of star bodies, for a grid $y \in Y_{d}, N(y)=\inf \left\{\epsilon: S_{\epsilon} \cap y \neq \emptyset\right\}$ and for a lattice $x \in X_{d}, \mu(x)$ is the least number such that for any $\epsilon>\mu(x)$, the star body $S_{\epsilon}$ intersects all the grids of $x$ or equivalently, $S_{\epsilon}$ projects onto the torus $\pi^{-1}(x)$ under the natural projection.
1.2. Dimension 2. In Dav51 Davenport showed (generalizing a result of Khintchine) that for any $x \in X_{2}$ one has $\mu(x)>\frac{1}{128}$, hence the spectrum $\mathcal{S}_{2}$ is bounded away from zero. The constant $\frac{1}{128}$ is not optimal and much work has been done to improve Davenport's lower bound of the spectrum (see Cas72, Cas52, Dav51 the references therein and Remark 4.4). The set $\left\{y \in \pi^{-1}(x): \mu(y)>0\right\}$ (where $x \in X_{2}$ is arbitrary) has been investigated and it is known to have full Hausdorff dimension as well as being a winning set for Schmidt's game. See for example Kle99, BHKV10, [Tse09], and [ET].
1.3. Cassels problem. In his book Cas72] (p. 307), Cassels raised the following natural question:

Problem 1.1 (Cassels). In dimension $d \geq 3$, is the infimum of the spectrum $\mathcal{S}_{d}$ equal to zero.

We answer Cassels' problem affirmatively. In fact we show that the infimum is attained and give explicit constructions of lattices attaining the minimum. The following theorem is a consequence of corollary 4.9(1):

Theorem 1.2. For $d \geq 3$, almost any lattice $x \in X_{d}$ (with respect to the natural probability measure) satisfies $\mu(x)=0$.
1.4. Diophantine approximations. Of particular interest to Diophantine approximations, are lattices of the following forms: Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ be a column vector. Denote

$$
h_{v}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I_{d-1} & v  \tag{1.6}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad g_{v}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & v^{t} \\
0 & I_{d-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $I_{d-1}$ denotes the identity matrix of dimension $d-1$ and the 0 's denote the corresponding trivial vectors. Let $x_{v}, z_{v} \in X_{d}$, denote the lattices spanned by the columns of $h_{v}$ and $g_{v}$ respectively. For $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, denoting by $\langle\gamma\rangle$, the distance from $\gamma$ to the nearest integer, an easy calculation shows that the statements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \vec{\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \quad \liminf _{|n| \rightarrow \infty}|n| \prod_{1}^{d-1}\left\langle n v_{i}-\gamma_{i}\right\rangle=0 \tag{C1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \quad \liminf _{\Pi\left|n_{i}\right| \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{1}^{d-1}\left|n_{i}\right|\left\langle\sum_{1}^{d-1} n_{i} v_{i}-\gamma\right\rangle=0 \tag{C2}
\end{equation*}
$$

imply that $\mu\left(x_{v}\right)=0$ and $\mu\left(z_{v}\right)=0$ respectively.
Definition 1.3. A vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is said to have property C of the first (resp. second) type, if statement (C1) (resp. (C2)) is satisfied.

Theorem 1.4. Let $d \geq 3$
(1) Almost any $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ (with respect to Lebesgue measure) has property $C$ of first and second types. In particular, $\mu\left(x_{v}\right)=\mu\left(z_{v}\right)=0$.
(2) Nonetheless, if $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left\{1, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d-1}\right\} \leq 2$ then $\mu\left(x_{v}\right), \mu\left(z_{v}\right)$ are positive.

Part (1) of the above theorem is a consequence of corollary 4.9. Part (2) follows from known results in dimension 2 and will be proved in $\$ 6$.
Perhaps the most interesting amongst the results in this paper is the following theorem which shows that certain pairs of algebraic numbers are generic. The proof follows from corollary 5.2 and corollary 4.9 (3).

Theorem 1.5. If $1, \alpha, \beta$ form a basis for a totally real cubic number field, then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\forall \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R} & \liminf _{|n| \rightarrow \infty}|n|\langle n \alpha-\gamma\rangle\langle n \beta-\delta\rangle=0 \\
\forall \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \quad & \liminf _{|n m| \rightarrow \infty}|n m|\langle n \alpha+m \beta-\gamma\rangle=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{array}
$$

That is, the vector $(\alpha, \beta)^{t}$ has property $C$ of both types.

### 1.5. Remarks.

(1) Cassels and Swinnerton-Dyer have shown CSD55 that any real pair $\alpha, \beta$, belonging to the same cubic totally real field, satisfies Littlewood's conjecture, i.e. satisfies (1.7) with $\gamma=\delta=0$. Thus theorem 1.5 together with theorem 1.4(2), can be viewed as a strengthening of their result.
(2) As Cassels points out in his book Cas72, Problem 1.1 belongs to a family of problems for various forms (other than $N$ ). Barnes Bar62] solved an analogous problem with $N$ replaced by an indefinite quadratic form in $d \geq 3$ variables. Our method, when adapted appropriately, seem to give a different proof of Barnes' result.
(3) In a recent paper Bug, Y. Bugeaud raised (independently of Cassels) the question of existence of pairs $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ which satisfy (1.7).
(4) Our methods are dynamical and rely on rigidity results such as Ratner's theorem Rat91, the results and techniques appearing in [W01] and the extension of Furstenberg's $\times 2 \times 3$ theorem [Fur67] due to Berend [Ber83]. But, although the usual ergodic theoretic arguments provide existence only, our results provide us with concrete examples of numbers and lattices with nontrivial dynamical and Diophantine properties.
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## 2. Basic notions, groups and homogeneous spaces

When $d \geq 2$ is fixed we denote $G=S L_{d}(\mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{d}, G_{0}=S L_{d}(\mathbb{R})$ and $V=\mathbb{R}^{d}$. We shall identify $G_{0}, V$ with the corresponding subgroups of $G$. Denote by $A<G_{0}$ the subgroup of diagonal matrices with positive diagonal entries. The Lie algebra of $A$ is identified with the Euclidean $d-1$ dimensional space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{a}=\left\{\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}: \sum_{1}^{d} t_{i}=0\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A$ is isomorphic to the additive group $\mathfrak{a}$, via the exponent map $\exp : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow A$ given by $\exp (\mathbf{t})=\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{t_{1}}, \ldots, e^{t_{d}}\right)$. We denote the inverse of $\exp$ by $\log$. The roots of $A$ are the linear functionals on $\mathfrak{a}$ of the following forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq d, \quad \mathbf{t} \mapsto t_{i}-t_{j} ; \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq d, \quad \mathbf{t} \mapsto t_{k} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of roots will be denoted by $\Phi$. As suggested in (2.2), we say that a root $\alpha \in \Phi$ corresponds to a pair $1 \leq i \neq j \leq d$ or to an index $1 \leq k \leq d$. To each root $\alpha \in \Phi$, there corresponds a one parameter unipotent subgroup $\left\{u_{\alpha}(t)\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}<G$, called the root group, for which the following equation is satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
a u_{\alpha}(t) a^{-1}=u_{\alpha}\left(e^{\alpha(\log (a))} t\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the root $\alpha$ corresponds to a pair $i \neq j$, we sometime denote $u_{\alpha}(t)=u_{i j}(t)$. In this case $u_{i j}(t) \in G_{0}$ is the matrix all of whose entries are zero, except for the $i j$ 'th which is equal to $t$ and the diagonal entries which are equal to 1 . When $\alpha$ corresponds to $1 \leq k \leq d$, we sometime denote $u_{\alpha}(t)=u_{k}(t)$. In this case, $u_{k}(t) \in V$ is the vector, $t e_{k}$, where $e_{k}$ is the $k$ 'th standard vector. We sometime abuse notation and write, for a root $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $a \in A, \alpha(a)$ instead of $\alpha(\log (a))$.

For an element $a \in A$ we define the stable horospherical subgroup of $G$ corresponding to it to be $U^{-}(a)=\left\{(g, v) \in G: a^{n}(g, v) a^{-n} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} e\right\}$, and the unstable horospherical subgroup to be $U^{+}(a)=U^{-}\left(a^{-1}\right)$. We further denote by $U_{0}^{+}(a)=U^{+}(a) \cap G_{0}, U_{0}^{-}(a)=$ $U^{-}(a) \cap G_{0}$, the horospherical subgroups in $G_{0}$. An element $b \in A$ is called regular if for any root $\alpha \in \Phi, \alpha(b) \neq 0$. For $b \in A$, any element $g \in G$ which is close enough to $e$, has a unique decomposition $g=c u^{+} u^{-}$, where $c$ centralizes $b, u^{+} \in U^{+}(b), u^{-} \in U^{-}(b)$ and $c, u^{+}, u^{-}$lie in corresponding neighborhoods of $e$. If $b$ is regular then the centralizer of $b$ is $A$.
The linear action of $G_{0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ induces a transitive action of $G_{0}$ on $X_{d}$. The stabilizer of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{d} \in X_{d}$ is $\Gamma_{0}=S L_{d}(\mathbb{Z})$. This enables us to identify $X_{d}$ with the homogeneous space $G_{0} / \Gamma_{0}$. For $g \in G_{0}$, we denote $\bar{g}=g \Gamma_{0} . \bar{g} \in X_{d}$ represents the lattice spanned
by the columns of the matrix $g$. In a similar manner we identify $Y_{d}$ with $G / \Gamma$, where $\Gamma=S L_{d}(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^{d}$. For $(g, v) \in G,(g, v) \Gamma$ represents the grid $\bar{g}+v . \Gamma$ (resp $\left.\Gamma_{0}\right)$ is a lattice in $G\left(\operatorname{resp} G_{0}\right)$. The $G\left(\operatorname{resp} G_{0}\right)$ invariant probability measure on $Y_{d}\left(\right.$ resp $\left.X_{d}\right)$ will be referred to as the Haar measure. $G_{0}$ and its subgroups act on $X_{d}, Y_{d}$ and the action commutes with the projection $\pi: Y_{d} \rightarrow X_{d}$. Finally, we say that a grid $y=x+v$ is rational, if $v$ belongs to the $\mathbb{Q}$-span of the lattice $x$. This is equivalent to saying that $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ is a torsion element.

## 3. Compact $A$ orbits

The following classification theorem, essentially goes back to [Bac]. A modern proof can be found in [LW01] or [McM05]. Before stating it, let us recall some notions from number theory. A totally real number field is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, all of whose embeddings into $\mathbb{C}$ are real. A lattice in a number field, is the $\mathbb{Z}$-span of a basis of the field over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $K$ be a totally real number field of degree $d$ and let $\sigma_{i}, i=1 \ldots d$, be the different embeddings of $K$ into the reals. The map $\varphi=\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{d}\right)^{t}: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is called a geometric embedding. It is well known that if $\Lambda$ is a lattice in $K$, then $\varphi(\Lambda)$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. The ring of integers in $K$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ and the group of units of this ring is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{K}^{*}$. The logarithmic embedding of $\mathcal{O}_{K}^{*}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$ (see (2.1)) is given by $\omega \mapsto\left(\log \left|\sigma_{1}(\omega)\right|, \ldots, \log \left|\sigma_{d}(\omega)\right|\right)$. We shall denote the image of $\mathcal{O}_{K}^{*}$ by $\Omega_{K}$. Dirichlet's unit theorem implies that $\Omega_{K}$ is a lattice in $\mathfrak{a}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $x_{0} \in X_{d} . A x_{0}$ is compact if and only if there exists $a \in A$ such that $a x_{0}$ is (up to multiplication by a normalizing scalar) the geometric embedding of a lattice in a totally real number field, $K$, of degree $d$. Moreover there exists some finite index subgroup $\Omega<\Omega_{K}$ such that $\Omega=\log \left(\operatorname{Stab}_{A}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$.

As a corollary we get a classification of the compact $A$-orbits in $Y_{d}$. The proof is left to the reader.

Corollary 3.2. A grid $y \in Y_{d}$ has a compact $A$-orbit, if and only if it is rational and $\pi(y) \in X_{d}$ has a compact orbit. In this case, $\operatorname{Stab}_{A}(y)$ is of finite index in $\operatorname{Stab}_{A}(\pi(y))$.

The following corollary of theorem 3.1 is one of the places in which higher rank is reflected.

Corollary 3.3. Let $d \geq 3$ and let $y \in Y_{d}$ be a grid with a compact $A$-orbit. Denote $A_{0}=\operatorname{Stab}_{A}(y)$. Then, for any root $\alpha \in \Phi$, the set $\left\{\alpha(a): a \in A_{0}\right\}$ is dense in the reals.

Proof. Let $K$ be the totally real number field of degree $d$ arising from theorem 3.1 and corollary 3.2 and let $\alpha \in \Phi$ be a root. By corollary $3.2, \log \left(A_{0}\right)$ is of finite index in $\Omega_{K}$. It follows that it is enough to justify why $\alpha\left(\Omega_{K}\right)$ is dense in the reals. As $\Omega_{K}$ is a lattice in $\mathfrak{a}$, this is equivalent to $\Omega_{K} \cap \operatorname{ker}(\alpha)$ not being a lattice in $\operatorname{ker}(\alpha)$. If $\alpha$ corresponds to a pair $i \neq j$ (see $(2.2)$ ), then if $\Omega_{K} \cap \operatorname{ker}(\alpha)<\operatorname{ker}(\alpha)$ is a lattice, then there is a subfield of $K$ (the field $\left.\left\{\theta \in K: \sigma_{i}(\theta)=\sigma_{j}(\theta)\right\}\right)$ with a group of units containing a copy of $\mathbb{Z}^{d-2}$. The degree of this subfield is at most $d / 2$ and so by Dirichlet's unit theorem the degree of the group of units in this subfield is at most $d / 2-1$. This means that $d / 2-1 \geq d-2$
which is equivalent to $d \leq 2$ a contradiction. If $\alpha$ corresponds to $k$, then the situation is even simpler as $\Omega_{K} \cap \operatorname{ker}(\alpha)=\{0\}$.

## 4. Dynamics and $G D P$ lattices

4.1. Inheritance. The reason that the action of $A$ on $X_{d}, Y_{d}$ is of importance to us is the invariance of the product set, namely $\forall a \in A, y \in Y_{d}, P(y)=P(a y)$.

## Definition 4.1.

(1) A grid $y \in Y_{d}$ is called $D P$ (dense products) if $\overline{P(y)}=\mathbb{R}$.
(2) A lattice $x \in X_{d}$ is called $G D P$ if any grid $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ is $D P$.

The proofs of the next useful lemma and its corollary are left to the reader.
Lemma 4.2 (Inheritance). If $y, y_{0} \in Y_{d}$ are such that $y_{0} \in \overline{A y}$, then $\overline{P\left(y_{0}\right)} \subset \overline{P(y)}$.
Corollary 4.3 .
(1) If $y, y_{0} \in Y_{d}$ are such that $y_{0} \in \overline{A y}$ and $y_{0}$ is $D P$, then $y$ is $D P$ too.
(2) If $x, x_{0} \in X_{d}$ are such that $x_{0} \in \overline{A x}$ and $x_{0}$ is GDP, then $x$ is GDP too.

Remark 4.4. An interesting consequence of the inheritance lemma is the semicontinuity of the function $\mu$; observe that if $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $X_{d}$, then $\lim \sup \mu\left(x_{n}\right) \leq \mu(x)$. It follows that for $x_{0}, x \in X_{d}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \in \overline{A x} \Rightarrow \mu\left(x_{0}\right) \geq \mu(x) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the ergodicity of the $A$-action on $X_{d}$ (with respect to the Haar probability measure), that $\mu$ (which is $A$-invariant) is almost surely constant and moreover, equals almost surely to the minimal possible value; i.e. to $\inf \mathcal{S}_{d}=\min \mathcal{S}_{d}$. Theorem 1.2 says that for $d \geq 3$ this value equals zero, while as noted before for $d=2$ this value remains unknown.

## Lemma 4.5.

(1) If $y \in Y_{d}$ is such that $\overline{A y} \supset \pi^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ for some $x_{0} \in X_{d}$ then $y$ is $D P$.
(2) If $y \in Y_{d}$ is such that there exists $y_{0} \in Y_{d}$ and a root group $\left\{u_{i j}(t)\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}<G_{0}$ such that $\overline{A y} \supset\left\{u_{i j}(t) y_{0}: t \in I\right\}$, where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a ray, then $y$ is $D P$.

Proof. To see (1) note that from the inheritance lemma it follows that $\forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{d} P\left(x_{0}+v\right) \subset$ $\overline{P(y)}$. Clearly $\cup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} P\left(x_{0}+v\right)=\mathbb{R}$. To see (2) note that it follows from Rat91 theorem B, that

$$
\left\{u_{i j}(t) y_{0}: t \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \subset \overline{\left\{u_{i j}(t) y_{0}: t \in I\right\}}
$$

Let $w \in y_{0}$ be a vector all of whose coordinates are nonzero. By the inheritance lemma

$$
\overline{P(y)} \supset\left\{N\left(u_{i j}(t) w\right): t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}=\left\{N(w)\left(\frac{w_{j}}{w_{i}} t+1\right): t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}=\mathbb{R}
$$

4.2. Existence of $G D P$ lattices for $d \geq 3$. The proof of the following theorem is based on the ideas presented in [LW01.

Theorem 4.6. If $x, x_{0} \in X_{d}(d \geq 3), A x_{0}$ is compact and $x_{0} \in \overline{A x} \backslash A x$, then $x$ is $G D P$.
Proof. Let $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$. Consider $F=\overline{A y}$ and $F_{0}=F \cap \pi^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Note that from the compactness of the fibers of $\pi$ and the assumptions of the theorem it follows that $F_{0} \neq \emptyset$. In Sha09, Lemma 4.8] it is shown that any irrational grid $y_{0} \in \pi^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$, satisfies $\overline{A y_{0}} \supset \pi^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ (in this context see also Cer06). Hence by lemma 4.5 (1), $y_{0}$ is $D P$. Hence, if $F_{0}$ contains an irrational grid then $y$ is $D P$ by corollary 4.3 (1).
Assume then that $F_{0}$ contains only rational grids and let $y_{0} \in F_{0}$ (this could happen for example if $y$ is a rational grid). By corollary 3.2, $A y_{0}$ is compact. Denote $A_{0}=\operatorname{Stab}_{A}\left(y_{0}\right)$. Choose a regular element $b \in A_{0}$. Let $U^{-}=U^{-}(b), U^{+}=U^{+}(b)$, be the corresponding stable and unstable horospherical subgroups of $G$. Any point which is close enough to $y_{0}$ in $Y_{d}$, has a unique representation of the form $a u^{+} u^{-} y_{0}$, where $a \in A, u^{+} \in U^{+}$and $u^{-} \in U^{-}$are in corresponding neighborhoods of the identity. Choose a sequence $y_{n} \rightarrow y_{0}$ from the orbit $A y$. We may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=a_{n} u_{n}^{+} u_{n}^{-} y_{0} \in F \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n}, u_{n}^{+}, u_{n}^{-} \rightarrow e$. We may further assume that $a_{n}=e$ for all $n$, for if not, replace $y_{n}$ by $a_{n}^{-1} y_{n}$. The fact that $y_{0}$ is not in $A y$ implies that the pairs $\left(u_{n}^{+}, u_{n}^{-}\right)$are nontrivial for any $n$. Our first goal is to show:
Claim 1: There exist a point in $F$ of the form $u y_{0}$, where $u \neq e$ is in $U^{+}$or $U^{-}$.
If there exists an $n$ with one of $u_{n}^{+}$or $u_{n}^{-}$, being trivial, then the claim follows. If not, we denote for any $n$ by $k_{n}$, the least integer such that the maximum of the absolute values of the entries of $b^{k_{n}} u_{n}^{+} b^{-k_{n}}$ is greater than 1. It then follows that this absolute value lies in some interval of the form $[1, M]$ (where $M$ only depends on the choice of $b$ ). Since $u_{n}^{+} \rightarrow e$ we must have $k_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. It is easy to see that the convergence $b^{k_{n}} u^{-} b^{-k_{n}} \rightarrow e$, for $u^{-} \in U^{-}$, is uniform on compact subsets of $U^{-}$. Hence in particular, $b^{k_{n}} u_{n}^{-} b^{-k_{n}} \rightarrow e$. Thus after going to a subsequence and abusing notation, we may assume that $b^{k_{n}} u_{n}^{+} b^{-k_{n}} \rightarrow u$, where $e \neq u \in U^{+}$. Hence

$$
\lim b^{k_{n}} y_{n}=\lim b^{k_{n}} u_{n}^{+} b^{-k_{n}} b^{k_{n}} u_{n}^{-} b^{-k_{n}} y_{0}=u y_{0} \in F
$$

and claim 1 follows.
Claim 2: There exist a root $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $t_{0} \neq 0$ such that $u_{\alpha}\left(t_{0}\right) y_{0} \in F$. Let $u$ be as in claim 1. We denote for $g \in G$,

$$
\Phi_{g}=\{\alpha \in \Phi: \text { the entry corresponding to } \alpha \text { in } g \text { is nonzero }\} .
$$

If $\Phi_{u}$ contains only one root, claim 2 follows. If not, there exists a one parameter semigroup $\left\{a_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}<A$ such that $\Phi_{u}$ is the union of two non empty disjoint sets, $\Phi_{u}^{-}, \Phi_{u}^{0}$, such that for $\alpha \in \Phi_{u}^{-}, \alpha\left(a_{1}\right)<0$, while for $\alpha \in \Phi_{u}^{0}, \alpha\left(a_{1}\right)=0$ (see [LW01] step 4.5 for details). It follows that for any sequence $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty, a_{t_{n}} u a_{t_{n}}^{-1} \rightarrow u^{\prime}$, where $\Phi_{u^{\prime}}=\Phi_{u}^{0}$, which is strictly smaller then $\Phi_{u}$. Since $A y_{0} \simeq A / A_{0}$ is a $(d-1)$-torus, we can always find a sequence
$t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, such that $a_{t_{n}} y_{0} \rightarrow y_{0}$. Thus

$$
\lim a_{t_{n}} u y_{0}=\lim a_{t_{n}} u a_{t_{n}}^{-1} a_{t_{n}} y_{0}=u^{\prime} y_{0} \in F
$$

Repeating this process a finite number of times, we end up with a root $\alpha$ and some nonzero real number $t_{0}$, such that $u_{\alpha}\left(t_{0}\right) y_{0} \in F$ and claim 2 follows.
Claim 3: There exists a ray $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left\{u_{\alpha}(t) y_{0}: t \in I\right\} \subset F$.
By corollary 3.3, we have that $\left\{\alpha(a): a \in A_{0}\right\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$. It follows that $I=$ $\overline{\left\{e^{\alpha(a)} t_{0}: a \in A_{0}\right\}}$ is a ray. We have

$$
\left\{a u_{\alpha}\left(t_{0}\right) y_{0}: a \in A_{0}\right\}=\left\{a u_{\alpha}\left(t_{0}\right) a^{-1} y_{0}: a \in A_{0}\right\}=\left\{u_{\alpha}\left(e^{\alpha(a)} t_{0}\right) y_{0}: a \in A_{0}\right\} \subset F .
$$

Claim 3 now follows from the fact that $F$ is closed.
Note that from our assumption that $F_{0}$ contain only rational grids, it follow that the root group $u_{\alpha}(t)$ is contained in $G_{0}$. It now follows from lemma 4.5 (2) that $y$ is $D P$ and the theorem follows.

Corollary 4.7. For $d \geq 3$, any lattice with a dense $A$ orbit is $G D P$.
Proof. This is a consequence of theorem 4.6, and corollary 4.3(2).
The following lemma is well known. We give the outline of a proof.
Lemma 4.8. For any $d \geq 2$ and almost any $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ (with respect to Lebesgue measure) $\overline{A x_{v}}=\overline{A z_{v}}=X_{d}$.

Proof. Let us consider lattices of the form $x_{v}$ for example. Denote

$$
a_{t}=\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{t}, \ldots, e^{t}, e^{(1-d) t}\right) .
$$

Note that for any positive $t$ the unstable horospherical subgroup of $a_{t}$ in $G_{0}$ is (recall the notation of $\S \S 1.4) U_{0}^{+}\left(a_{t}\right)=\left\{h_{v}: v \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}\right\}$. For any point $x \in X_{d}$ there exists neighborhoods $W_{x}^{+}, W_{x}^{-}, W_{x}^{0}$ of the identity elements in the groups $U_{0}^{+}\left(a_{t}\right), U_{0}^{-}\left(a_{t}\right)$ and the centralizer of $a_{t}$ (in $G_{0}$ ), such that the map $W_{x}^{0} \times W_{x}^{-} \times W_{x}^{+} \rightarrow X_{d}$ given by $\left(c, g, h_{v}\right) \mapsto c g h_{v} x$ is a diffeomorphism with a neighborhood, $W_{x}$, of $x$ in $X_{d}$. Note that if $x_{i}=c_{i} g_{i} h_{v} x, i=1,2$ are two points in $W_{x}$ having the same $U^{+}$coordinate, then the trajectory $\left\{a_{t} x_{1}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is dense in $X_{d}$ if and only if $\left\{a_{t} x_{2}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is dense. As the action of $a_{t}$ on $X_{d}$ is ergodic we know that for almost any $x^{\prime} \in W_{x},\left\{a_{t} x^{\prime}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is dense in $X_{d}$ and from analyzing the structure of the Haar measure on $X_{d}$ restricted to $W_{x}$ we conclude that for almost any $v$ in the neighborhood of zero in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ corresponding to $W_{x}^{+},\left\{a_{t} h_{v} x\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is dense in $X_{d}$. We abuse notation and think of $W_{x}^{+}$as contained in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$.
To finish the argument we find a countable collection $v_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ such that the neighborhoods $W_{x_{v_{i}}}$ satisfy $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}=\cup_{i}\left(v_{i}+W_{x_{v_{i}}}\right)$ and note that $W_{x_{v_{i}}} x_{v_{i}}=\left\{x_{w}: w \in v_{i}+W_{x_{v_{i}}}\right\}$.

Corollary 4.9. Let $d \geq 3$
(1) Almost any lattice $x \in X_{d}$ (with respect to Haar measure) is GDP.
(2) For almost any $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ (with respect to Lebesgue measure), both $x_{v}, z_{v} \in X_{d}$ are $G D P$.
(3) If $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is such that $x_{v}\left(\right.$ resp $\left.z_{v}\right)$ is $G D P$, then $v$ has property $C$ of first (resp. second) type.

Proof. (1) follows from the ergodicity of the $A$ action on $X_{d}$ which in particular means that almost any point has a dense orbit and corollary 4.7. (2) follows from lemma 4.8 and corollary 4.7. (3) is left to be verified by the reader.

## 5. A density result

Let $x_{0} \in X_{3}$ be a point with a compact $A$-orbit. We shall use the following facts: It follows from Lemma 4.1 of [LW01, that the orbit of $x_{0}$ under any root group $u_{i j}(t)$, is dense in $X_{3}$, moreover Theorem B of Rat91, implies that in fact $\left\{u_{i j}(t) x_{0}\right\}_{t \in I}$ is dense in $X_{3}$, for any ray $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. It follows from corollary 1.4 in [LW01], that if $b \in G_{0}$ is lower or upper triangular but not diagonal, then $\overline{A b x_{0}}=X_{3}$. A more careful look yields the following theorem. The author is indebted to Elon Lindenstrauss, for valuable ideas appearing in the proof.
Theorem 5.1. Let $x_{0} \in X_{3}$ be a lattice with a compact $A$-orbit. If $p=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ \beta & \gamma & \delta \\ \eta & \tau & \mu\end{array}\right) \in$ $G_{0}$ is such that both $\tau, \mu \neq 0$, then $\overline{A p x_{0}}=X_{3}$.
Proof. A straightforward computation shows

$$
p=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{5.1}\\
0 & 1 & \frac{\delta}{\mu} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha & 0 & 0 \\
\beta-\frac{\delta \eta}{\mu} & \gamma-\frac{\delta \tau}{\mu} & 0 \\
\eta & 0 & \mu
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\tau}{\mu} & 1
\end{array}\right)=u_{23}\left(t_{0}\right) b_{1} b_{2},
$$

where we denoted $t_{0}=\frac{\delta}{\mu}$ and the matrices appearing in the middle of (5.1) by $u_{23}\left(t_{0}\right), b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ according to appearance. Note that the matrix $b=b_{1} b_{2}$ is nondiagonal as $\tau \neq 0$, hence by the preceding discussion, if we denote $x_{1}=b x_{0}$, then $x_{1}$ has a dense $A$-orbit. Hence, it is enough to show that $x_{1}$ belongs to the orbit closure of $p x_{0}=u_{23}\left(t_{0}\right) x_{1}$. This will follow from the existence of a recurrence sequence $a_{n} \in A$ for $x_{1}$ (i.e. a sequence such that $\left.a_{n} x_{1} \rightarrow x_{1}\right)$ which in addition satisfies $a_{n} u_{23}\left(t_{0}\right) a_{n}^{-1} \rightarrow e$, for then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim a_{n} p x_{0}=\lim a_{n} u_{23}\left(t_{0}\right) a_{n}^{-1} a_{n} x_{1}=x_{1} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sequence $a_{n}$ satisfies $a_{n} u_{23}\left(t_{0}\right) a_{n}^{-1} \rightarrow e$, if and only if $t_{2}^{(n)}-t_{3}^{(n)} \rightarrow-\infty$, where $\mathbf{t}^{(n)}=$ $\log \left(a_{n}\right)$. Thus it is enough to show that for any $m>0$, there exists a recurrence sequence for $x_{1}$ in $A_{m}=\exp \left(R_{m}\right)$, where $R_{m}=\left\{\mathbf{t} \in \mathfrak{a}: t_{2}-t_{3} \leq-m\right\}$ is a half plane. Choose $m>0$. We shall show that in fact $A_{m} x_{1}$ is dense in $X_{3}$. Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{t}=\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{2 t}, e^{-t}, e^{-t}\right) \text { and } a^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{-m}, 1, e^{m}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The line $\left\{a^{\prime} a_{t}\right\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ lies on the boundary of $A_{m}$. As $b=b_{1} b_{2}$, we write (emphasizing the desired partition into products)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m} x_{1} \supset a^{\prime} a_{t} x_{1}=a^{\prime} a_{t} b x_{0}=\left(a^{\prime} a_{t} b_{1}\left(a^{\prime} a_{t}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot\left(\left(a^{\prime} a_{t}\right) b_{2}\left(a^{\prime} a_{t}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot\left(\left(a^{\prime} a_{t}\right) x_{0}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that for any sequence $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty, a^{\prime} a_{t_{n}} b_{1}\left(a^{\prime} a_{t_{n}}\right)^{-1}$ converges to the diagonal matrix $a^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha, \gamma-\frac{\delta \tau}{\mu}, \mu\right)$, while at the same time $\left(a^{\prime} a_{t_{n}}\right) b_{2}\left(a^{\prime} a_{t_{n}}\right)^{-1}$ converges to $u_{23}\left(s_{0}\right)$, where $s_{0}=e^{m} \frac{\tau}{\mu} \neq 0$. Furthermore, because $A x_{0} \simeq A / \operatorname{Stab}_{A}\left(x_{0}\right)$, and because the line $a_{t}$ is irrational with respect to the lattice $\operatorname{Stab}_{A}\left(x_{0}\right)$ (by theorem 3.1), any trajectory of $\left\{a_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $A x_{0}$ is dense there. In particular any point in $A x_{0}$ is a limit point of some sequence $\left(a^{\prime} a_{t_{n}}\right) x_{0}$, for some sequence $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. It follows now from (5.4), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{A_{m} x_{1}} \supset a^{\prime \prime} u_{23}\left(s_{0}\right) A x_{0}=u_{23}\left(s_{1}\right) A x_{0}, \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a suitable choice of $s_{1} \neq 0$. As $A_{m}$ is closed under multiplication, $\overline{A_{m} x_{1}}$ closed under the action of $A_{m}$. In particular, it follows from (5.5), that for any $a \in A_{m}, a u_{23}\left(s_{1}\right) a^{-1} x_{0} \in$ $\overline{A_{m} x_{1}}$, i.e. $u_{23}(s) x_{0} \in \overline{A_{m} x_{1}}$, where $s$ ranges over the set $\left\{e^{t} s_{1}: t \geq m\right\}$, which is a ray. The discussion preceding this proof now implies the density of $A_{m} x_{1}$ and in particular that $x_{1} \in \overline{A_{m} x_{1}}$ as desired.

Corollary 5.2. Let $K$ be a totally real cubic number field and let $1, \alpha, \beta$ be a basis of $K$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Denote $v=(\alpha, \beta)^{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then the lattices $x_{v}, z_{v}$, have dense $A$ orbits in $X_{3}$ and in particular they are GDP by corollary 4.7.

Proof. Let us denote $\alpha=\alpha_{1}, \beta=\beta_{1}$ and let $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, i=2,3$, be the other two embeddings of $\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}$ into the reals. Denote $g_{0}=c\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & \alpha_{1} & \beta_{1} \\ 1 & \alpha_{2} & \beta_{2} \\ 1 & \alpha_{3} & \beta_{3}\end{array}\right)$, where $c$ is chosen so that $\operatorname{det}\left(g_{0}\right)=1$. Then, $\bar{g}_{0} \in X_{3}$ has a compact $A$-orbit by theorem 3.1. It is easy to see that there exists a unique matrix $p \in G_{0}$ as in lemma 5.1, such that (recall the notation of $\S \S 1.4$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
p g_{0}=g_{v} . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader can easily check that the relevant entries of $p$ must be nonzero. We apply lemma 5.1, and conclude that $\bar{g}_{v}=z_{v}$ has a dense $A$-orbit in $X_{3}$. In order to see that $x_{v}$ has a dense orbit, we note that the involution $g \mapsto\left(g^{t}\right)^{-1}=g^{*}$ of $G_{0}$, descends to a diffeomorphism of $X_{3}$. We denote this map by $\bar{g} \mapsto \bar{g}^{*}=\overline{g^{*}}$. This is the well known map which sends a lattice to its dual. Since the group $A$ is invariant under this involution, we have that for any lattice $x,(\overline{A x})^{*}=\overline{A x^{*}}$. In particular, $x$ has a dense orbit if and only if $x^{*}$ has. In a similar way to what we have already shown, one can show that the lattice spanned by the columns of $g_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\alpha & -\beta & 1\end{array}\right)$, has a dense $A$-orbit, in $X_{3}$. As $g_{1}^{*}=h_{v}$, it follows that $\bar{h}_{v}=x_{v}$ has a dense orbit too, as desired.

## 6. Irregular $A$ orbits

In this section we use the existence of lattices $x \in X_{d}(d \geq 3)$ for which $\mu(x)=0$ (theorem 1.2), and theorem 1.4(2) to give examples of lattices in $X_{3}$ having irregular $A$ orbit closures of a new type. This serves as a counterexample to conjecture 1.1 in Mar00. Our example proceeds the recent counterexample, F. Maucourant gave to this conjecture
in Mau10. Our example is different in nature from Maucourant's example. We use a maximal split torus whilst in Mau10 the acting group does not "separate roots" which seem to be the reason for the abnormality. It still seems plausible that a slightly different version of that conjecture will be true.

Proof of theorem 1.4(2). We first note that if $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X_{d}$ are commensurable lattices (that is their intersection is of finite index in each), then $\mu\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ if and only if $\mu\left(x_{2}\right)=0$. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ satisfy $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ span $\left\{1, v_{1} \ldots, v_{d-1}\right\} \leq 2$. Then, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and rationals $p_{i}, q_{i}, i=1 \ldots d-1$ such that $v_{i}=q_{i} \alpha+p_{i}$. Denote $v^{\prime}=\left(q_{1} \alpha, \ldots, q_{d-1} \alpha\right)^{t}$. It follows that $x_{v}, z_{v}$ are commensurable to $x_{v^{\prime}}, z_{v^{\prime}}$ respectively.
Claim 1: $\mu\left(x_{v^{\prime}}\right)>0$. Working with the definition of $\mu$ we see that it is enough to argue the existence of $d-1$ real numbers $\gamma_{i}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(x_{v^{\prime}}+\left(-\gamma_{1}, \ldots,-\gamma_{d-1}, 1 / 2\right)^{t}\right)=\inf _{n \in \mathbb{Z}}|n+1 / 2| \prod_{1}^{d-1}\left\langle n q_{i} \alpha-\gamma_{i}\right\rangle>0 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Davenport's result described in $\S \S 1.2$ it follows that there exists $\gamma_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $i, \inf _{n \in \mathbb{Z}}|n+1 / 2|\left\langle n q_{i} \alpha-\gamma_{i}\right\rangle>0$. Moreover, if we denote by $m$, a common denominator for the $q_{i}$ 's, then by [Cas52, Theorem 1], we can choose the $\gamma_{i}$ 's such that for any $i \neq j, \frac{\gamma_{i}}{q_{i}}-\frac{\gamma_{j}}{q_{j}} \notin \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{Z}$. Denote for $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$ by $\langle r-s\rangle_{m}$ the distance modulo $\frac{1}{m} \mathbb{Z}$ from $r$ to $s$. Denote $\rho=\min _{i \neq j}\left\langle\frac{\gamma_{i}}{q_{i}}-\frac{\gamma_{j}}{q_{j}}\right\rangle_{m}$. Note that for $\epsilon>0,\left\langle n q_{i} \alpha-\gamma_{i}\right\rangle<$ $\epsilon \Rightarrow\left\langle n \alpha-\frac{\gamma_{i}}{q_{i}}\right\rangle_{m}<\frac{\epsilon}{\left|q_{i}\right|}$. Hence if $\max _{i} \frac{\epsilon}{\left|q_{i}\right|}<\rho / 2$, then $\left\langle n q_{i} \alpha-\gamma_{i}\right\rangle<\epsilon$ for at most one index $i$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be such. Assume that the left hand side of (6.1) is smaller than $\epsilon^{d-1} / 2$. Then for some $k,\left\langle n q_{k} \alpha-\gamma_{k}\right\rangle<\epsilon$ which implies that the left hand side of 6.1) is $>\epsilon^{d-2} \inf _{n \in \mathbb{Z}}|n+1 / 2|\left\langle n q_{k} \alpha-\gamma_{k}\right\rangle>0$ as desired.
Claim $2 \mu\left(z_{v^{\prime}}\right)>0$. We use the notation as in Claim 1. From Davenport's result, we know that there exists $0 \neq \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\inf _{k \in \mathbb{Z}}|k+1 / 2|\langle k(\alpha / m)-\gamma\rangle>0$. The reader will easily argue the existence of a constant $c>0$ for which

$$
\forall \vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1} \backslash\{0\}, \quad \prod_{1}^{d-1}\left|n_{i}+1 / 2\right| \geq c|m \vec{q} \cdot \vec{n}+1 / 2|
$$

Working with the definition of $\mu$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(z_{v^{\prime}}\right) & \geq N\left(z_{v^{\prime}}+(\gamma, 1 / 2, \ldots, 1 / 2)^{t}\right)=\inf _{\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \prod\left|n_{i}+1 / 2\right|\langle(m \vec{q} \cdot \vec{n}) \alpha / m-\gamma\rangle \\
& \geq \min \left\{\inf _{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{q} \neq 0} c|m \vec{q} \cdot \vec{n}+1 / 2|\langle(m \vec{q} \cdot \vec{n}) \alpha / m-\gamma\rangle ; \frac{|\gamma|}{2^{d-1}}\right\}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Conjecture 6.1 (Special case of conjecture 1.1 in [Mar00]). For $x \in X_{3}$, one of the following three options occurs:
(1) $A x$ is dense.
(2) $A x$ is closed.
(3) $A x$ is contained in a closed orbit $H x$ of an intermediate group $A<H<G$, where $H$ could be one of the following three subgroups of $G_{0}$ :

$$
H_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
* & * & 0 \\
* & * & 0 \\
0 & 0 & *
\end{array}\right), H_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
* & 0 & 0 \\
0 & * & * \\
0 & * & *
\end{array}\right), H_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
* & 0 & * \\
0 & * & 0 \\
* & 0 & *
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ denote $v_{t}=(t, t)^{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. We denote the one parameter group $h_{v_{t}}$ (recall the notation of $\S \S(1.4)$ simply by $h_{t}$ and the lattice $x_{v_{t}}$ by $x_{t}$.

Theorem 6.2. There exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x_{t} \in X_{3}$ violates conjecture 6.1.
Proof. By theorem 1.4(2), $\mu\left(x_{t}\right)>0$ hence by theorem 1.2 and (4.1), possibility (1) is ruled out. To rule out possibilities (2) and (3), we note that if $H$ is either one of the groups $A, H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}$, then by Lemma 6.3 below, for any $g \in G_{0}$, if the orbit $H \bar{g}$ is closed in $X_{3}$ then $g^{-1} \mathrm{Hg}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ (in fact an if and only if statement holds here). Assume to get a contradiction that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, for $H$ equals one of the above, the group $h_{t}^{-1} H h_{t}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Two elements $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G_{0}$ conjugate $H$ to the same group if and only if $g_{1} g_{2}^{-1}$ normalizes $H$. All the above groups are of finite index in their normalizers in $G_{0}$ and so there exists some $k$ such that whenever $g$ normalizes $H$, then $g^{k} \in H$. As there are only countably many $\mathbb{Q}$-groups in $G_{0}$, there must exist some $t \neq s$ such that $\left(h_{t} h_{s}^{-1}\right)^{k}=h_{k(t-s)} \in H$ which of course never happens.
Lemma 6.3. Let $H<G_{0}=\mathrm{SL}_{3}(\mathbb{R})$ be one of the groups $A, H_{i} i=1,2,3$. Let $g \in G_{0}$ be such that $H \bar{g} \subset X_{3}$ is closed. Then, $g^{-1} H g$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. The statement for $H=A$ follows from [TW03]. We argue the proof for $H=H_{1}$ for example. Let $g$ be as in the statement of the lemma. Let $L=g^{-1} H g$. Note that $L=T L^{\prime}$, where $L^{\prime}$ is the commutator subgroup of $L$ and $T$ the center of $L$, which equals the centralizer of $L^{\prime}$ in $G_{0}$. Hence, in order to prove that $L$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, it is enough to show that $L^{\prime}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. We shall conclude this by showing that the orbit $L^{\prime} \bar{e}$ of $L^{\prime}$ through the identity coset is of finite volume (that is that $L^{\prime}$ intersects $\mathrm{SL}_{3}(\mathbb{Z})$ in a lattice in it), hence as $L^{\prime}$ is simple and noncompact, it is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ by the Borel density theorem. Applying Ratner's theorem to the orbit $L^{\prime} \bar{e}$, we conclude the existence of a group $L^{\prime} \leq F$, such that $F \bar{e}$ is of finite volume (hence closed), and $L^{\prime}$ acts ergodically on this finite volume orbit. From [Sha91, Lemma 2.2] our assumption that $L \bar{e}$ is closed implies that $F \leq L$, hence $F$ must split as a product of $L^{\prime}$ and a subgroup $\tilde{T}<T$. As $\tilde{T}$ lies in the center of $F, L^{\prime}$ can act ergodically on the orbit $F \bar{e}$ only if $\tilde{T}$ is trivial which shows that $F=L^{\prime}$, hence indeed the orbit $L^{\prime} \bar{e}$ is of finite volume as desired.
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